

UNITED STATES DEPÄRTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

1 -

SERIAL NUMBER			ANT AT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO	
				* NO.	
			EXAMINER		
្រុំ ជា បាន សមាស ស្រាល់។ មានសំហាប់ សមាល់ La ស្រាល់ពីស្គាល់ក្រុ			1.20		
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Chillian (F)	It 1 400 prov.			//	

DATE MAILED:

Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE:	COMMISSIONER OF PATERIO AND PROSENTATION					
a) is extended to run	ADVISORY ACTION					
b)	THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE:					
b) applies three months from the date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event however, will the stability period for the response expire later than sur months from the date of the final rejection. Any actiness on stime must be obtained by fining a position under 37 CFR 1.13(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fer. The date on which the response, the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the less Any extension fee pursuants of 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the response and the appropriate fee. The purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the less Any extension fee pursuant of 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set torth in by above. Appellant's Brief is due in accordance with 37 CFR 1.192(a). Mappliant's Brief is due in accordance with 37 CFR 1.192(a). Mappliant's Brief is due in accordance with 37 CFR 1.192(a). Mappliant's response to the final rejection fished \$2/14/9.42\$ has been considered with the following effect, but it is not deemed to place the application and for specification will not be entered and the final rejection stands because: a The proposed amendments to the datin and for specification will not be entered and the final rejection stands because: b The proposed amendments and would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note). c They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note). d They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note). d They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal. e They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: Disponse of amendment cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: Disponse of amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. Disponse of a present additional claim	a) is extended to run 6 mass or continues to run	from the date of the final rejection				
The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of sutrains and the conseptioning amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above. Appellant's Brief is due in accordance with 37 CFR 1.192(a)	b) a expires three months from the date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no					
Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed 12/14/94 has been considered with the following effect, but it is not deemed to place the application in condition for allowance: The proposed amendments to the claim and for specification will not be entered and the final rejection stands because: The proposed amendments to the claim and for specification will not be entered and the final rejection stands because: The proposed or amendment is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier presented	The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the corresponding amount of purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount or	date of the response and also the date for the if the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR				
to piace the appication in condition for allowance: The proposed amendments to the claim and /or specification will not be entered and the final rejection stands because: The proposed amendments to the claim and /or specification will not be entered and the final rejection stands because: The proposed proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier presented	Appellant's Brief is due in accordance with 37 CFR 1.192(a).					
a There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier presented b They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note). c. They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note). d They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal. e. They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE:	Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed 12/14/94 has been consider to place the application in condition for allowance:	ered with the following effect, but it is not deemed				
b They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note). c. They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note). d They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal. e. They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowed if submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. Word the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment will be entered will not be entered and the status of the claims will be as follows: Claims allowed: NOW Claims objected to: APPL DEBORAH CROUCH PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1800 4 The affidant, which or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because ALL Not ALL Claims allowed: NOW PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1800 4 The affidant of whibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier presented. The proposed drawing correction has has not been approved by the examiner Pother Ind induction has has not been approved by the examiner Pother Ind induction has has not been approved by the examiner Pother Ind induction has has not been approved by the examiner Pother Ind induction has has not been approved by the examiner Pother Ind induction has has not been approved by the examiner Pother Ind induction has has not been approved by the examiner Pother Ind induction has has not been approved by the examiner Pother Indian has not been approved by the examiner Pother Indian has not been approved by the examiner Pother Indian has not been approved by the examiner Pother Indian has not been approved by the examiner Pother Indian has not been approved by the examiner Pother Indian has not been approved by the examiner Pother Indian has not bee	1. The proposed amendments to the claim and /or specification will not be entered an	nd the final rejection stands because:				
C. ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (See Note). d ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal. e ☐ They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: 2. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claims		amendment is necessary and was not earlier				
d They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal. e. They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE:	b. They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search	h. (See Note).				
appeal e. They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE:	c. They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note).					
NOTE: Newly proposed or amended claims		materially reducing or simplifying the issues for				
NOTE: Newly proposed or amended claims	e. They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of	of finally rejected claims.				
2. Newly proposed or amended claims		, ,				
2. Newly proposed or amended claims						
## Upon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment will be entered will not be entered and the status of the claims will be as follows: Claims allowed:						
## Upon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment will be entered will not be entered and the status of the claims will be as follows: Claims allowed:						
Claims allowed:	Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowed if subrithe non-allowable claims.	mitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling				
DEBORAH CROUCH PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1800 Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because the retained of the reasons of retaining the representation of the response of retaining the representation of the response o	3. Upon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment will be entered will no be as follows:	not be entered and the status of the claims will				
DEBORAH CROUCH PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1800 Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because the retained of the reasons of retaining the representation of the response of retaining the representation of the response o	Claims allowed: NW	(1) (1)				
However: Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): The affidavit, which or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because the nethod claration of the repair of the resons why it was not earlier presented. The proposed drawing correction has has not been approved by the examiner which is clisclosed for the repair of the repai		Subar a Crox a				
Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): GROUP 1800 The affidavit, submittor request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because the net thought charactery protocol. For reasons of record generatively in not enabled. The declaration is not persons of record generatively in not enabled. The declaration is not persons in the way of the way of the earlier presented. The proposed drawing correction has has not been approved by the examiner Yother undicatery where in the specification that represented for the purposes, other than generative from the claim of the provides no unique for a therapeutic benefit from the claimed method. The Claim of the provides of the property of the provides of the purposes, other than generative from the claimed method. The claim of the provides of the provides of the purposes of the provides of the purposes of the provides of the purposes.	Claims rejected:	DEBORAH CROUCH				
Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): 4 If the affidavit, authoritor request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because the net thought claimed a dio closed as a gene therapy protocol. For reasons of record gene therapy is not enabled. The declaration is not persons ive as there is not for the affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier presented. The proposed drawing correction has has not been approved by the examiner Yother undicater where in the specification than return thought is clisclosed for the purposes, other than gene therapy. Also declarant provides no suicence for a therapeutic benefit from the claimed method. No suicence for a therapeutic benefit from the claimed method.	_	GROUP 1800				
Claimed Odio closed as a gene therapy protocol. For reasons of record gene therapy is not enabled. The declaration is not persons of record gene therapy is not enabled. The declaration is not persons of the second as there is not earlier presented. The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier presented. The proposed drawing correction has has not been approved by the examiner Yother undicater where in the specification that returned hood is clisclosed for the purposes, other than gene therapy. Also declarant provides no suiclence for a therapeutic benefit from the claimed method. No suiclence for a therapeutic benefit from the claimed method.	Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):					
The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier presented. The proposed drawing correction has has not been approved by the examiner Wother wid icatery where in the specification than the method is disclosed for other purposes, other than gene therapy. Also declarant provides no further purposes, other than gene therapy. Also declarant provides no fullence for a therapeutic benefit from the claimed method. To clarant inticates than the method is afirst step for a therapylping.	claimed is disclosed as a gene therapy proto	icol. For reasons of record gene				
Forther indication where in the specification that the method is clisclosed for other purposes, other than gene therapy. Also declarant provides no widence for a therapeutic benefit from the claimed method. To clarant indicates than the method is afirst step for any therapy (pugas).	5 The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown goo					
Forther indication where in the specification that the method is clisclosed for other purposes, other than gene therapy. Also declarant provides no widence for a therapeutic benefit from the claimed method. To clarant indicates than the method is afirst step for any therapy (pugas).	☐ The proposed drawing correction ☐ has ☐ has not been approved by the examin	eer				
porother purposes, other than 'gene therapy. Also declarant provides no juicence for a therapeutic benefit from the claimed method. Declarant indicates than the method is a first other for a therapy (puge3).	Form widication where in the specification that the method is disclosed					
no widing for a therapeutic benefit from the claimed herapy (pugu3). Teclarant indicates than the method is a first state for a therapy (pugu3).	forother purposes, other than gene therapy. Also declarant provides					
riser 589) Theodor not tripport enant + for a the rapy three or all shall	IMORESTATION & COLOR	in front the coop al.				